



Definition of CoE KPIs Deliverable 9.2





Porto Business School



Definition of CoE KPIs Deliverable 9.2

Lead beneficiary Submission date

PBS May 31st 2020



Index

1. Introduction	4
2. Application analysis	5
2.1. Strategic objectives	5
2.2. Impact indicators	7
2.3. Specific objectives	10
2.4. Performance indicators	12
2.5. Correlation between objectives and indicators	13
3. Interpretation of data	14
3.1. Egg model	14
3.2. Significance	15
4. Results	21
4.1. Performance indicators	21
4.2. Activity KPI's	24
5. Conclusion	28



1. Introduction

"The right set of KPIs will shine a light on the key aspects of performance and highlight areas that may need attention"

Bernard Marr & Co.

Key performance indicators provide a way to evaluate how organisations, teams, projects, business units or individuals are performing in relation to their strategic goals. KPIs are measurement instruments that enable an unbiassed assessment to understand whether or not the subject is on track toward the strategy defined.

The ultimate utility of the definition of KPIs is to improve performance, as these indicators provide useful insights for decision-making purposes.

According to Viki, T., Toma, D., & Gons, E. (2017) there are two categories of key performance indicators: activity metrics and impact metrics. Activity metrics measure the level of activity going on. Impact metrics measure tangible results that are emerging from the activity.

Nonetheless, not all indicators are equally relevant to pursue the objectives. So, the first steps of this analysis were to examine, select and connect the indicators mentioned on the Application stage.

In fact, the Application presents four categories of metrics: **strategic objectives**, **impact indicators** to measure the first, **specific objectives** and **performance indicators** to measure the last.

These metrics presented are related to each other, although some of them not directly. Hence, the first step was to build an egg model that correlates all variables but accepts the link between the metrics in layers, rather than straightforward.

Nonetheless, as the goal of this deliverable was to find the **performance indicators to evaluate the strategic objectives**, all variables were combined to find this specific linear relation. So the second step of this task was based on the understanding that some indicators have higher significance to the strategic objectives than others, so direct links can be made between strategic objectives and performance indicators, through the impact indicators.

Besides, activity indicators were established to evaluate how well BIOPOLIS is achieving the performance indicators. These will serve as milestones.

In the following section, the Application will be scanned concerning the objectives and indicators.

Then, data will be interpreted, the results will be presented and the activity KPIs will be defined.

Lastly, major conclusions will be provided.



2. Application analysis

2.1. Strategic Objectives

At the Application stage, a set of BIOPOLIS' **strategic objetives** were defined and grouped into three main axes: Excellence towards innovation, Empower economy and sustainability for a better society, and Internationalization in research and training. Each **strategic objective** was then divided into three **strategic sub-objectives**, listed below. Thus, 3 **strategic objectives** and 9 **strategic sub-objectives** are presented.

I. Excellence towards innovation

i. Tap into Portugal's potential in research and innovation, creating an independent, strong and sustainable CoE that delivers excellent scientific research and innovation with high societal impact over the long term.

ii. Build up human and logistic capacities for developing excellent research and innovation, train researchers and practitioners, and promote knowledge transfer and the exploitation of results, establishing a state-of-the-art collaborative research platform where the best facilities and equipment are available to researchers, spin-off and start-ups, and industrial partners.

iii. Tackle cutting-edge research problems at the frontiers of current knowledge, harnessing the powerful concepts and tools of the New Biology framework, and involving collaborations with top-level researchers and research institutions worldwide.

II. Empower economy and sustainability for a better society

iv. Develop solutions to societal challenges, addressing the United Nations 2030 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), and promoting the sustainable use of biodiversity (including agrobiodiversity) and ecosystems to reduce poverty and enhance economic growth opportunities, with a strong focus on least developed countries, particularly Portuguese-speaking African countries.

v. Enhance the appreciation of science, biodiversity and ecosystems by society as a whole, raising awareness regarding the links between the knowledge-based management of social-ecological systems, sustainable socioeconomic development and human health and well-being.

vi. Promote specialised jobs, economic growth and investment at the national and regional levels, engaging with business corporations and other stakeholders, increasing capacities to develop problemsolving research, transferring knowledge to end users, and creating start-up companies.



III. Internationalization in research and training

vii. Raise the critical mass of highly skilled researchers and international visibility of the country and region in the fields of environment, biodiversity and agriculture at the national and regional levels, attracting the best talent worldwide, and contributing to reversing the problems associated with "brain drain".

viii. Improve international experience, networking capacities and participation in cross-border science networks, connecting researchers and research institutions through strategic partnerships with internationally leading institutions worldwide, and with research institutions from least developed countries.

ix. Train a new generation of highly-skilled researchers and practitioners, offering internationally recognised doctoral and post-doctoral programmes, as well as advanced training and continuous development programmes for professionals at different stages of their career.







2.2. Impact indicators

Impact indicators were assigned to measure the achievement of strategic sub-objectives. For each strategic sub-objective, a few **impact indicators** were designated.

I. EXCELLENCE TOWARDS INNOVATION

(i) Tap into Portugal's potential in Research and Innovation

- I1.1. Financial self-sufficiency (balance between revenues and costs)
- I1.2. Annual No. of projects funded by a foreign agency (non-UE) or private entity
- I1.3. Percentage of funding for research obtained from EU programmes
- 11.4. No. of Invited Chairs funded by business corporations

(ii) Build up Human and logistic capacities

- I2.1. Annual No. of private or public academic and business using the platform facilities
- 12.2. Annual No. of courses for technology and knowledge updating

(iii) Tackle cutting-edge research problems in the frontiers of current knowledge

- I3.1. Annual No. of projects in fundamental research nationally funded
- 13.2. Annual No. of projects in fundamental research internationally funded
- 13.3. Annual No. of international scientific meetings organized by CoE researchers
- 13.4. No. of scientific publications in top ranked journals (SCI impact factor >10)



II. EMPOWER ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABILITY FOR A BETTER SOCIETY

(iv) Develop Solutions to Societal Challenges

- II4.1. No. of TwinLabs established in low- and middle-income countries
- II4.2. No. of students from low- and middle-income countries enrolled in post-graduation
- II4.3 No. of contracts with the public administration regarding biodiversity and ecosystems
- II4.4 No. of contracts with key actors in the agrifood sector and with SMEs for the exploitation of Results
- II4.5 No. number of innovative solutions developed to address environmental challenges

(v) Enhance appreciation of science, biodiversity and ecosystems by the society

- II5.1. No. of articles on news outlets about BIOPOLIS activities
- II5.2. No. of scientific dissemination publications edited or authored by BIOPOLIS
- II5.3. No. of non-academic people involved in scientific outreach activities or enrolled in exchange training programmes with business and industry
- II5.4. No. of joint activities with regional and local authorities on societal issues
- II5.5. No. number of outreaching events organised for the general public and number of participants

(vi) Promote specialised jobs, economic growth and investment

- II6.1. No. of patents, open innovative solutions, technical guidelines, and manuals derived from BIOPOLIS research
- II6.2. No. of stakeholders enrolled in the CoE's Affiliates Programme
- II6.3. No. of innovative and marketable outputs of partnerships with business corporations
- II6.4. No. of new businesses, start-ups and spinoffs initiated
- II6.5. No. of Invited Chair established by private corporations



III. INTERNATIONALIZATION IN RESEARCH AND TRAINING

(vii) Raise Critical Mass and international visibility

- III7.1. No. of new top-ranked researchers attracted
- III7.2. Percentage of permanent staff from abroad
- III7.3. Percentage of the staff enrolled in mobility programs

(viii) Improve international experience and networking capacities

- III8.1. No. of collaborations with foreign top ranked institutions
- III8.2. No. of international consortia leaded by BIOPOLIS
- III8.3. % of post-graduation students of from other nationalities

(ix) Train a new generation of Highly-Skilled Researchers

- III9.1. No. of students annually enrolled in the CoE's post-graduation programmes
- III9.2. No. of doctoral thesis submitted per year
- III9.2. No. of employees from national or international organizations trained at BIOPOLIS





2.3. Specific objectives

To translate the strategic objectives into concrete actions specific objectives [SO] were defined. Although, the strategic and specific objectives are not directly linked, the pursuing of the specific ones will lead to the achievement of the strategic ones.

[SO1] To establish strong governance, organizational and administration structures, with adequate staff, and to implement the management of the CoE through efficient and fair strategies and procedures (e.g., human resources, finances, infrastructures and equipment).

[SO2] To upgrade the research structure, a hiring of staff composed of international talented researchers at different career stages, supported by skilled technical staff, and organised in robust research units implementing ambitious programmes of research and innovation.

[SO3] To reinforce the outreach structure, with specialised staff devoted to communication, dissemination and exploitation (CD&E), advanced training, knowledge transfer, and stakeholder engagement, which implement outreach programmes following efficient strategies and procedures.

[SO4] To reinforce research capacities, competences and international visibility, enhancing the ability of researchers to attract regular funding through national and international research and innovation projects, to produce high-quality scientific outputs, and to engage in problem-solving research with business partners and other end users.

[SO5] To reinforce the on-going doctoral programme, establish a post-doctoral programme, and reinforce the advanced training and continuous development programmes, contributing to enhance leadership of BIOPOLIS in advanced training.

[SO6] To strengthen partnerships with end-users, creating and implementing a strong Affiliates Programme (AP), thereby effectively reinforcing the "quadruple helix" model of innovation, boosting interactions and mutual trust with end-users, and promoting dissemination and exploitation of results.

[SO7] To expand the international network of partnerships with leading institutions worldwide, and with institutions from least developed countries through a network of TwinLabs, positioning BIOPOLIS at the forefront of collaborative partnerships between Europe, Africa and South America.

[SO8] To upgrade CIBIO into a highly competitive R&D&I CoE, with the ability to attract and retain highlevel young and senior researchers, to participate in international networks of leading institutions, and to be involved in (and coordinate) large projects funded by the EU and other institutions.

[SO9] To upgrade CIBIO into a CoE positioned as a key player in the fields of environmental biology, ecosystem research and agrobiodiversity, with high scientific impact, and high international scientific visibility.



[SO10] To upgrade CIBIO into a reference CoE for "quadruple helix" partnerships in environment, biodiversity and agriculture, thereby assuring its role in the dissemination and exploitation of research and innovation results.

[SO11] To upgrade CIBIO into a reference CoE for the management and sustainable use of biodiversity (including agrobiodiversity) and ecosystems, providing advanced scientific and technical advice to end users.

[SO12] To achieve full financial sustainability, with a successful fundraising strategy based on the regular participation in EU and other research projects, IP revenues, industry contracts, among others.

These **specific objectives** were associated to the impact indicators: to each **impact indicator** several specific objectives were assigned.



Scheme 3. Correlation between strategic objectives, strategic sub-objectives, impact indicators and specific objectives



2.4. Performance indicators

Lastly, for each **specific objective [SO]**, three **performance indicators** were established in order o assess the achievement of these objectives.







2.5. Correlation between objectives and indicators

Therefore, the Application refers strategic objectives, impact indicators (directly linked to the strategic objectives), specific objectives and performance indicators (directly linked to the specific objectives).

The connection between the four variables is as described in the following scheme:

Strategic & sub-strategic objectives

There are three strategic objectives, each of them segmented into 3 subobjectives. The stategic objectives can be seen as the main areas of BIOPOLIS: Innovation, Sustainability and Internationalization.

Impact indicators

There are 34 impact indicators on the Application. Each substrategic objective (and consequently each strategic objective) is linked to a few impact indicators, enabling the measurement of those objectives.

Specific objectives (SO)

12 specific objectives were defined to translate the strategic objectives into concrete actions. These can be understood as the short-term goals. Each impact indicator was linked to several Specific Objectives, therefore this relation is not straightforward.

Performance indicators

36 (3x12) performance indicators were defined to assess the achievement of the specific objectives.

#4



3. Interpretation of data

3.1. Egg model

BIOPOLIS project is based on 3 major pillars: innovation, sustainability and internationalization. These pillars are reflected in its strategic objectives and will be measured annually through the impact indicators. Furthermore, these impact indicators will contribute to the Innovation Index of Portugal (IIP). Their contribution, however, is not identical, with some indicators exhibiting a higher significance which one can understand through the European Innovation Scoreboard. The 3 strategic objectives are divided into 9 sub-objectives measured by 34 impact indicators. These represent a strategic dimension, an holist approach of the project and its purpose.

On the other hand, the Application presents us an **operational dimension**, a performance approach whose goal is to evaluate daily activities and the achievement of milestones, rather than annual assessment. This approach is expressed through 12 specific objectives measured by 36 performance indicators.

Despite the fact these two dimensions are interconnected since the achievement of the strategic objectives depends on the success of the specific objectives, their link is not straightforward.

For example, the first strategic sub-objective <u>Tap into Portugal's potential in Research and Innovation</u> is measured, not merely but also, by the impact indicator Financial self-sufficiency (balance between revenues and costs). This impact indicator is indirectly linked to the specific objectives [SO] 1, 4, 6, 11, 12. In fact, in order to accomplish *financial self-sufficiency*, there is a need to establish strong governance [SO1], to reinforce research capabilities [SO4], to strengthen partnerships with end-users [SO6], to upgrade CIBIO into a reference CoE [SO11], and to achieve full financial sustainability [SO12]. All these SO combined will enable the attainment of financial self-sufficiency.

Considering this point of view, in which everything is connect we can build an egg model:



Scheme 6. Egg model

Nevertheless, other methodologies can be used to analysed the data and monitor the key performance indicators. 14



3.2. Significance

Returning to the previous example, it is true that in order to accomplish <u>financial self-sufficiency</u>, there is a need to establish strong governance, to reinforce research capabilities, to strengthen partnerships with endusers, to upgrade CIBIO into a reference CoE and to achieve full financial sustainability – it is the combination of all of these SO that will fuel the <u>financial self-sufficiency</u>.

Nonetheless, it is possible to conclude that one of these specific objectives has a **higher significance** than the others for the achievement of the impact indicator.

In fact, considering the strategic sub-objective (*Tap into Portugal's potential in Research and Innovation*), all of the specific objectives play a contributory role. However, looking specifically at the *impact indicator financial self-sufficiency*, it's clear that the specific objective [SO] 12 to achieve full financial sustainability will have a greater significance.

Strategic sub- objective	Impact indicator	Specific objectives	Performance indicators														
Tap intosePortugal'ssupotential in(baResearch andbeInnovationrev		to establish strong governance [SO1]	(i) Background qualifications and experience of the hired directors and administration officers; (ii) Delivery times and quality assurance of operations; (iii) Employee satisfaction														
	Financial self-	to reinforce research capabilities [SO4]	(i) Growth (%) in research projects funded and amount awarded. (ii) Growth (%) in quantity and quality of scientific outputs (e.g. papers). (iii) Growth (%) in contracts with industry and other stakeholders														
	sufficiency (balance between revenues and costs)	to strengthen partnerships with end-users [SO6]	(i) No. of stakeholders involved in the AP. (ii) Growth (%) in the No. of projects with AP partners. (iii) Research and Innovation funding generated through the AP.														
		to upgrade CIBIO into a reference CoE [SO11]	(i) No. of consultancy contracts with public and private end users; (ii) No. of participations in advisory panels; (iii) No. of policy briefs produced														



This exercise can be repeated for each impact indicator, so the impact indicators, previously linked to more than one specific objective, become assigned to just one.

The methodology applied is based on the specific objective's effect on the achievement of that particular impact indicator – the ones with lower significance will be ignored.



Scheme 7. Methodology

All variables were considered and the results are presented in the following table:

	Impact indicators	Most significant specific objective [SO]
1	between revenues and costs)	[SO12] To achieve full financial sustainability, with a successful fundraising strategy based on the regular participation in EU and other research projects, IP revenues, industry contracts, among others.
2	foreign agency (non-UE) or private	[SO12] To achieve full financial sustainability, with a successful fundraising strategy based on the regular participation in EU and other research projects, IP revenues, industry contracts, among others.
3	obtained from EU programmes	[SO12] To achieve full financial sustainability, with a successful fundraising strategy based on the regular participation in EU and other research projects, IP revenues, industry contracts, among others.
4	No. of Invited Chairs funded by business corporations	[SO1] To establish strong governance, organizational and administration structures, with adequate staff, and to implement the management of the CoE through efficient and fair strategies and procedures (e.g., human resources, finances, infrastructures and equipment).
5	Annual No. of private or public academic and business using the platform facilities	[SO6] To strengthen partnerships with end-users, creating and implementing a strong Affiliates Programme (AP), thereby effectively reinforcing the "quadruple helix" model of innovation, boosting interactions and mutual trust with end-users, and promoting dissemination and exploitation of results.



	Impact indicators	Most significant specific objective [SO]
	Annual No. of courses for	[SO3] To reinforce the outreach structure, with specialised staff devoted to communication,
	technology and knowledge	dissemination and exploitation (CD&E), advanced training, knowledge transfer, and
	updating	stakeholder engagement, which implement outreach programmes following efficient
		strategies and procedures.
	Annual No. of projects in	[SO12] To achieve full financial sustainability, with a successful fundraising strategy based
7 f	fundamental research nationally	on the regular participation in EU and other research projects, IP revenues, industry
f	funded	contracts, among others.
	Annual Na. of projects in	[SO8] To upgrade ICETA-CIBIO into a highly competitive R&D&I CoE, with the ability to
	Annual No. of projects in	attract and retain high-level young and senior researchers, to participate in international
	fundamental research	networks of leading institutions, and to be involved in (and coordinate) large projects funded
	internationally funded	by the EU and other institutions.
		[SO7] To expand the international network of partnerships with leading institutions
	Annual No. of international	worldwide, and with institutions from least developed countries through a network of
	scientific meetings organized by	TwinLabs, positioning BIOPOLIS at the forefront of collaborative partnerships between
	CoE researchers	Europe, Africa and South America.
r	No. of scientific publications in top	[SO9] To upgrade ICETA-CIBIO into a CoE positioned as a key player in the fields of
10 r	ranked journals (SCI impact factor	environmental biology, ecosystem research and agrobiodiversity, with high scientific impact,
	>10)	and high international scientific visibility.
		[SO7] To expand the international network of partnerships with leading institutions
11	No. of TwinLabs established in	worldwide, and with institutions from least developed countries through a network of
	low- and middle-income countries	TwinLabs, positioning BIOPOLIS at the forefront of collaborative partnerships between
		Europe, Africa and South America.
ſ	No. of students from low- and	[SO7] To expand the international network of partnerships with leading institutions
	middle-income countries enrolled	worldwide, and with institutions from least developed countries through a network of
	in post-graduation	TwinLabs, positioning BIOPOLIS at the forefront of collaborative partnerships between
		Europe, Africa and South America.
r	No. of contracts with the public	[SO11] To upgrade ICETA-CIBIO into a reference CoE for the management and
13 a	administration regarding	sustainable use of biodiversity (including agrobiodiversity) and ecosystems, providing
	biodiversity and ecosystems	advanced scientific and technical advice to end users.
r	No. of contracts with key actors in	[SO11] To upgrade ICETA-CIBIO into a reference CoE for the management and
14 t	the agrifood sector and with SMEs	sustainable use of biodiversity (including agrobiodiversity) and ecosystems, providing
f	for the exploitation of results	advanced scientific and technical advice to end users.
r	No. number of innovative solutions	[SO11] To upgrade ICETA-CIBIO into a reference CoE for the management and
15 0	developed to address	sustainable use of biodiversity (including agrobiodiversity) and ecosystems, providing
	environmental challenges	advanced scientific and technical advice to end users.
13 i i 14 t 15 c	administration regarding biodiversity and ecosystems No. of contracts with key actors in the agrifood sector and with SMEs for the exploitation of results No. number of innovative solutions developed to address	sustainable use of biodiversity (including agrobiodiversity) and ecosystems, advanced scientific and technical advice to end users. [SO11] To upgrade ICETA-CIBIO into a reference CoE for the management sustainable use of biodiversity (including agrobiodiversity) and ecosystems, advanced scientific and technical advice to end users. [SO11] To upgrade ICETA-CIBIO into a reference CoE for the management sustainable use of biodiversity (including agrobiodiversity) and ecosystems,



	Impact indicators	Most significant specific objective [SO]
	No. of articles on news outlets about	[SO9] To upgrade ICETA-CIBIO into a CoE positioned as a key player in the fields of
16	BIOPOLIS activities	environmental biology, ecosystem research and agrobiodiversity, with high scientific
		impact, and high international scientific visibility.
	No. of scientific dissemination	[SO9] To upgrade ICETA-CIBIO into a CoE positioned as a key player in the fields of
17	publications edited or authored by	environmental biology, ecosystem research and agrobiodiversity, with high scientific
	BIOPOLIS	impact, and high international scientific visibility.
	No. of non-academic people	
	involved in scientific outreach	[SO10] To upgrade ICETA-CIBIO into a reference CoE for "quadruple helix" partnerships
18	activities or enrolled in exchange	in environment, biodiversity and agriculture, thereby assuring its role in the dissemination
	training programmes with business	and exploitation of research and innovation results.
	and industry	
	No. of joint activities with regional	[SO10] To upgrade ICETA-CIBIO into a reference CoE for "quadruple helix" partnerships
19	and local authorities on societal	in environment, biodiversity and agriculture, thereby assuring its role in the dissemination
	issues	and exploitation of research and innovation results.
	No. number of outreaching events	[SO10] To upgrade ICETA-CIBIO into a reference CoE for "quadruple helix" partnerships
20	organised for the general public and	in environment, biodiversity and agriculture, thereby assuring its role in the dissemination
	number of participants	and exploitation of research and innovation results.
21	No. of patents, open innovative solutions, technical guidelines, and manuals derived from BIOPOLIS research	[SO11] To upgrade ICETA-CIBIO into a reference CoE for the management and sustainable use of biodiversity (including agrobiodiversity) and ecosystems, providing advanced scientific and technical advice to end users.
22	No. of stakeholders enrolled in the CoE's Affiliates Programme	[SO12] To achieve full financial sustainability, with a successful fundraising strategy based on the regular participation in EU and other research projects, IP revenues, industry contracts, among others.
23	No. of innovative and marketable outputs of partnerships with business corporations	[SO6] To strengthen partnerships with end-users, creating and implementing a strong Affiliates Programme (AP), thereby effectively reinforcing the "quadruple helix" model of innovation, boosting interactions and mutual trust with end-users, and promoting dissemination and exploitation of results.
24	No. of new businesses, start-ups and spinoffs initiated	[SO6] To strengthen partnerships with end-users, creating and implementing a strong Affiliates Programme (AP), thereby effectively reinforcing the "quadruple helix" model of innovation, boosting interactions and mutual trust with end-users, and promoting dissemination and exploitation of results.
25	No. of Invited Chair established by private corporations	[SO12] To achieve full financial sustainability, with a successful fundraising strategy based on the regular participation in EU and other research projects, IP revenues, industry contracts, among others.
26	No. of new top-ranked researchers attracted	[SO2] To upgrade the research structure, a hiring of staff composed of international talented researchers at different career stages, supported by skilled technical staff, and organised in robust research units implementing ambitious programmes of research and innovation



	Impact indicators	Most significant specific objective [SO]
27	Percentage of permanent staff from abroad	[SO2] To upgrade the research structure, a hiring of staff composed of international talented researchers at different career stages, supported by skilled technical staff, and organised in robust research units implementing ambitious programmes of research and innovation
28	Percentage of the staff enrolled in mobility programs	[SO2] To upgrade the research structure, a hiring of staff composed of international talented researchers at different career stages, supported by skilled technical staff, and organised in robust research units implementing ambitious programmes of research and innovation
29	No. of collaborations with foreign top ranked institutions	[SO8] To upgrade ICETA-CIBIO into a highly competitive R&D&I CoE, with the ability to attract and retain high-level young and senior researchers, to participate in international networks of leading institutions, and to be involved in (and coordinate) large projects funded by the EU and other institutions.
30	No. of international consortia leaded by BIOPOLIS	[SO4] To reinforce research capacities, competences and international visibility, enhancing the ability of researchers to attract regular funding through national and international research and innovation projects, to produce high-quality scientific outputs, and to engage in problem-solving research with business partners and other end users.
31	% of post-graduation students of from other nationalities	[SO5] To reinforce the on-going doctoral programme, establish a post-doctoral programme, and reinforce the advanced training and continuous development programmes, contributing to enhance leadership of BIOPOLIS in advanced training.
32	No. of students annually enrolled in the CoE's post-graduation programmes	[SO5] To reinforce the on-going doctoral programme, establish a post-doctoral programme, and reinforce the advanced training and continuous development programmes, contributing to enhance leadership of BIOPOLIS in advanced training.
33	No. of doctoral thesis submitted per year	[SO5] To reinforce the on-going doctoral programme, establish a post-doctoral programme, and reinforce the advanced training and continuous development programmes, contributing to enhance leadership of BIOPOLIS in advanced training.
34	No. of employees from national or international organizations trained at BIOPOLIS	[SO5] To reinforce the on-going doctoral programme, establish a post-doctoral programme, and reinforce the advanced training and continuous development programmes, contributing to enhance leadership of BIOPOLIS in advanced training.

Table 2. Linkage of each impact indicator with just one specific objective [SO]

Appling this methodology, it was possible to connect the impact indicators with just one specific objective.



Each impact indicator is now connected with just one specific objective (1). As the impact indicators are linked to the strategic objectives (2), and the specific objectives are associated to the performance indicators (3), we are able to connect the strategic objectives (and strategic sub-objectives) with the performance indicators (4) through the specific objectives.



Scheme 8. Correlation of the strategic objectives with the performance indicators



4. Results

4.1. Performance indicators

Strategic objectives	Strategic sub- objectives	Specific objectives		Performance indicators
	A.1. Tap into Portugal's potential	SO 12	(i) (ii) (iii)	Annual balance sheet and return on capital; No. of patents registered and commercialised; (iii) No. and balance sheet of spin-offs based on innovative ideas developed in the project.
	in Research and	SO 1	(i) (ii) (iii)	Background qualifications and experience of the hired directors and administration officers; Delivery times and quality assurance of operations; Employee satisfaction.
	A.2. Build up Human and logistic capacities	SO 6	(ii) (ii) (iii)	No. of stakeholders involved in the AP; Growth (%) in the No. of projects with AP partners; Research and Innovation funding generated through the AP.
A. Excellence towards		SO 3	(i) (ii) (iii)	Growth (%) in traffic of websites and social media; Growth (%) in No. of CD&E publications produced; Growth in No. of people attending CD&E events.
innovation		SO 12	(i) (ii) (iii)	Annual balance sheet and return on capital;No. of patents registered and commercialised;No. and balance sheet of spin-offs based on innovative ideas developed in the project.
	A.3. Tackle cutting- edge research problems in the frontiers of current knowledge	SO 8	(i) (ii) (iii)	No. of top-level researchers attracted and retained; No. of participations in large international consortia; Growth (%) in the success rate of project applications.
		SO 7	(i) (ii) (iii)	Growth (%) in the No. of partnerships with international leading institutions; No. of TwinLabs established; Growth (%) in the No. of international research projects.
		SO 9	(i) (ii) (iii)	Growth (%) in funding through international grants; Growth (%) in No. of publications in Q1 journals; Growth (%) in citation metrics.

Table 3.1. Performance indicators to measure strategic objective A



Strategic objectives	Strategic sub- objectives	Specific objectives	Performance indicators	
	B.1. Develop solutions to societal changes	SO 7 SO 11	Growth (%) in the No. of partnerships with international lea No. of TwinLabs established; Growth (%) in the No. of international research projects. No. of consultancy contracts with public and private end u No. of participations in advisory panels;	
	B.2. Enhance appreciation of	SO 9	 (iii) No. of policy briefs produced. Growth (%) in funding through international grants; Growth (%) in No. of publications in Q1 journals; Growth (%) is situation matrice. 	
Economy and Sustainability	science, biodiversity and ecosystems by society	SO 10	Growth (%) in citation metrics. No. of joint projects with industry; Annual value of contracted services; No. of exchanges between research and industry staff.	
for a better society	B.3. Promote specialised jobs, economic growth and investment at the national and regional levels	SO 11	No. of consultancy contracts with public and private end u No. of participations in advisory panels; No. of policy briefs produced.	sers;
		SO 12	Annual balance sheet and return on capital; No. of patents registered and commercialised; No. and balance sheet of spin-offs based on innovative ide project.	eas developed in the
		SO 6	No. of stakeholders involved in the AP; Growth (%) in the No. of projects with AP partners; Research and Innovation funding generated through the A	\P

Table 3.2. Performance indicators to measure strategic objective B



Strategic objectives	Strategic sub- objectives	Specific objectives	Performance indicators
	C.1. Raise the critical mass of highly skilled researchers and international visibility of the country and region	SO 2	 (i) Share (%) of top-level researchers applying to open positions; (ii) No. Of top-level researchers contracted; (iii) No. and qualifications of field and lab. technicians contracted.
C. Internationali -zation in	experience,	SO 8	 (i) No. of top-level researchers attracted and retained; (ii) No. of participations in large international consortia; (iii) Growth (%) in the success rate of project applications.
research and training		SO 4	 (i) Growth (%) in research projects funded and amount awarded; (ii) Growth (%) in quantity and quality of scientific outputs (e.g. papers); (iii) Growth (%) in contracts with industry and other stakeholders.
		SO 5	 (i) No. of participants in advanced training programmes; (ii) Share (%) of participants from advanced countries; (iii) No. of stakeholders involved.
	C.3. Train a new generation of highly- skilled researchers and practitioners	SO 5	 (i) No. of participants in advanced training programmes; (ii) Share (%) of participants from advanced countries; (iii) No. of stakeholders involved.

Table 3.3. Performance indicators to measure strategic objective C

The previous tables provide the **key performance indicators** that should be used to evaluate the status of the project when it comes to pursuing its strategic objectives.



4.2. Activity KPIs

Besides finding the right performance indicators to measure the strategic objectives, Activity KPIs were defined in order to establish milestones to achieve the performance indicators. These Activity KPIs will, therefore, work as interim KPIs.

Strategic objecti- ves	Strategic sub- objectives	Specific objectives		Performance indicators		Activity KPIs		
			(i) (ii) (iii)	(iii) No. and balance sheet of spin-offs based	(i) (ii) (iii)	Gross Profit Margin percentage (%); Number of patents' applications; Number of research projects		
	A.1		(i) (ii) (iii)	the hired directors and administration officers;	(i) (ii) (iii)	Percentage (%) of new hires with PhD degree; Percentage (%) of on-time delivery (OTD); Turnover		
A			(i) (ii) (iii)	Growth (%) in the No. of projects with AP	(i) (ii) (iii)	Number of stakeholders invited to the AP; Number of projects with AP partners initiated; Number of research and innovation ideas		
	A.2	SO 3	(i) (ii) (iii)	Growth (%) in traffic of websites and social media; Growth (%) in No. of CD&E publications produced;	(i) (ii) (iii)	Number of visits per month in websites and social media; Number of ongoing publications; Number of registrations for CD&E events		
	A.3	SO 12	(i) (ii) (iii)	No. and balance sheet of spin-offs based on	(i) (ii) (iii)	Gross Profit Margin percentage (%); Number of patents' applications; Number of research projects		



Strategic objecti- ves	Strategic sub- objectives	Specific objectives	Performance indicators	Activity KPIs
Α		SO 8	 (i) No. of top-level researchers attracted and retained; (ii) No. of participations in large international consortia; (iii) Growth (%) in the success rate of project applications. 	 (i) Percentage (%) of top-level researchers that remain for more than 1 year; (ii) Number of applications in large international consortia; (iii) Percentage (%) of applications' approval
	A.3	SO 7	 (i) Growth (%) in the No. of partnerships with international leading institutions; (ii) No. of TwinLabs established; (iii) Growth (%) in the No. of international research projects. 	 (i) Number of invitations to establish partnerships with international leading institutions; (ii) Number of TwinLabs planned(projected; (iii) Number of international research projects ideas.
		SO 9	 (i) Growth (%) in funding through international grants; (ii) Growth (%) in No. of publications in Q1 journals; (iii) Growth (%) in citation metrics. 	 (i) Number of applications to international funds submitted; (ii) Number of calls for papers answered for Q1 journals; (iii) Number of citations of BIOPOLIS publications
В	B.1	SO 7	 (i) Growth (%) in the No. of partnerships with international leading institutions; (ii) No. of TwinLabs established; (iii) Growth (%) in the No. of international research projects. 	 (i) Number of invitations to establish partnerships with international leading institutions; (ii) Number of TwinLabs planned(projected; (iii) Number of international research projects ideas.
		SO 11	 (i) No. of consultancy contracts with public and private end users; (ii) No. of participations in advisory panels; (iii) No. of policy briefs produced. 	 (i) Number of proposals for consultancy contracts presented; (ii) Number of invitations for advisory panels; (iii) Number of ideas for policy briefs.



Strategic objecti- ves	Strategic sub- objectives	Specific objectives	Performance indicators	Activity KPIs			
В	B.2	SO 9	 (i) Growth (%) in funding through international grants; (ii) Growth (%) in No. of publications in Q1 journals; (iii) Growth (%) in citation metrics. 	 (i) Number of applications to international funds submitted; (ii) Number of calls for papers answered for Q1 journals; (iii) Number of citations of BIOPOLIS publications 			
	0.2		 No. of joint projects with industry; (ii) Annual value of contracted services; (iii) No. of exchanges between research and industry staff 	 Number of meetings with industry; Average value of the proposals for contracted services presented; Number of joint activities involving research and industry staff scheduled 			
	В.3	В.3	SO 11	 (i) No. of consultancy contracts with public and private end users; (ii) No. of participations in advisory panels; (iii) No. of policy briefs produced. 	 (i) Number of proposals for consultancy contracts presented; (ii) Number of invitations for advisory panels; (iii) Number of ideas for policy briefs. 		
			В.3	В.3		 (i) Annual balance sheet and return on capital; (ii) No. of patents registered and commercialised; (iii) (iii) No. and balance sheet of spin-offs based on innovative ideas developed in the project. 	 (i) Gross Profit Margin percentage (%); (ii) Number of patents' applications; (iii) Number of research projects
				 (i) No. of stakeholders involved in the AP; (ii) Growth (%) in the No. of projects with AP partners; (iii) Research and Innovation funding generated through the AP. 	 (i) Number of stakeholders invited to the AP; (ii) Number of projects with AP partners initiated; (iii) Number of research and innovation ideas 		
с	C.1	SO 2	 (i) Share (%) of top-level researchers applying to open positions; (ii) No. Of top-level researchers contracted; (iii) No. and qualifications of field and lab. technicians contracted 	 (i) Percentage (%) of researchers with PhD degree applying to open positions; (ii) From those, percentage of researchers contracted; (iii) Percentage (%) of field and lab technicians with PhD degree 			



Strategic objecti- ves	Strategic sub- objectives	Specific objectives		Performance indicators		Activity KPIs
c	C.2		(i) (ii) (iii)	retained; No. of participations in large international consortia; Growth (%) in the success rate of project	(i) (ii) (iii)	Percentage (%) of top-level researchers that remain for more than 1 year; Number of applications in large international consortia; Percentage (%) of applications' approval
			(i) (ii) (iii)	Growth (%) in research projects funded and amount awarded; Growth (%) in quantity and quality of scientific outputs (e.g. papers);	(i) (ii) (iii)	Number of applications led by BIOPOLIS submitted; Number of ongoing scientific outputs; Number of meetings (online and offline) with stakeholders
			(i) (ii) (iii)	programmes; Share (%) of participants from advanced countries;	(i) (ii) (iii)	Number of candidates in advanced training programmes; From those, percentage (%) of candidates from advanced countries; Number of stakeholders invited
	C.3	SO 4	(i) (ii) (iii)	amount awarded; Growth (%) in quantity and quality of scientific outputs (e.g. papers);	(i) (ii) (iii)	Number of applications led by BIOPOLIS submitted; Number of ongoing scientific outputs; Number of meetings (online and offline) with stakeholders



5. Conclusion

"The right set of KPIs will shine a light on the key aspects of performance and highlight areas that may need attention"

Bernard Marr & Co.

At the Application stage performance indicators were already defined – please refer to Table 1.1a of the Technical Annex. Nevertheless, these were merely allocated to the Specific Objectives [SO].

Furthermore, impact indicators were also specified in the Application - please refer to the table 2.1b. These were directly linked to the strategic objectives and indirectly linked to the specific objectives (since they were connected to several specific objectives).

Therefore, the goal of this deliverable was to establish direct relations between all variables in order to define the key performance indicators whose aim is to measure the achievement of BIOPOLIS strategic objectives.

Through the specific objectives [SO], since this was the only variable related to the others, it was possible to connect the performance indicators with the strategic objectives.

In order not to bias the analysis, performance indicators are repeated in some strategic objectives. Other option would be to choose some indicators for some objectives for them not to be repetitive. However, with the methodology followed, there is a guarantee of precision.

After this first analysis, Activity KPIs were defined. These that will serve as intermediary KPIs, enabling the assessment of the accomplishment of the performance KPIs. While the performance ones are supposed to be analysed annually, the activity KPIs can be used at any time.



